

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/762,742	01/22/2004		Yuhpyng L. Chen	PC9574C	5579
23913	7590	08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
PFIZER II	_		HABTE, KAHSAY		
150 EAST 42ND STREET 5TH FLOOR - STOP 49			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612				1624	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/762,742	CHEN, YUHPYNG L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 9-11,18,19,22 and 23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>9-11,18,19,22 and 23</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	nent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/762,742

Art Unit: 1624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 9-11, 18-19 and 22-23 are pending in this application.

Note that claim 23 depends from claim 1, but claim 1 is a cancelled claim.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 9 (in part), 11 (in part), 19 (in part) and 23 (in part), drawn to pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines (A = K= G = E = C and J = D = N, see EXAMPLES 1-5), classified in class 544, subclass 281.
  - II. Claims 9 (in part), 11 (in part), 19 (in part) and 22-23 (in part), drawn to pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines (J = K= G = E = C and A = D = N, see EXAMPLES 10-14), classified in class 544, subclass 280.
  - III. Claims 9 (in part), 10, 11 (in part), 19 (in part) and 23 (in part), drawn to [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-b]pyridines (D = E = G = N and J = K = A = C, see EXAMPLES 6-9), classified in class 546, subclass 119.
  - IV. Claims 9 (in part), 11 (in part) and 19 (in part), drawn to others, classified in classes 544 and 546, subclass various.
  - V. Claim 18, drawn to a method of treating or preventing a disorder or condition of which can be affected or facilitated by inhibiting CRH binding protein, classified in class 514, subclass various.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,742

on Control Hamber: 10/102,7

Art Unit: 1624

Groups I-IV are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the variable core created by the varying definitions of A, K, J, D, E and G in the compound formula do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. Group I is drawn to pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines (pyrimidine fused to a 5-memberd ring with one nitrogen and a ring nitrogen is shared by the two rings) and is different from Groups II-IV, since this core structure is not present in Groups II-IV. Group II is drawn to pyrrolo[3,2d]pyrimidines (pyrimidine ring fused to a pyrrole ring) and is different from Group I or Groups III-IV. Group II is different from Group I, since the core structure of Group II does not have common nitrogen between the two rings (i.e. one of J or K is not nitrogen). Group III is drawn to [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-b]pyridines (a pyridine ring fused to a 1,2,3-triazolo ring) and is different from Groups I-II, since this is not present in other groups. Group IV is drawn to others (core structures that don't fall in Groups I-III, e.g. pyrimidine fused to furan, pyrimidine fused to 1,3-thiazole, bicyclic triazines, pyridine fused to pyrroles, etc.) and is different from Groups I-III. Inventions I-IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidines are used in the treatment of pain that is materially different process from the one recited in claim 18. Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be

Application/Control Number: 10/762,742

Art Unit: 1624

required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ significantly in chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

If applicants elect Group IV, a single disclosed species is required. If applicants elect Group IV, further restriction may be required.

# Advisory Rejoinder

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/762,742

Art Unit: 1624

found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Andrea Dorigo on July 7, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1624

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson (Acting SPE) can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.

Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH July 28, 2005